

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,383	09/15/2004	Timothy H. Daubenspeck	BUR920040151US1	5382
30449	7590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHMEISER, OLSEN + WATTS			DANG, TRUNG Q	
3 LEAR JET LANE SUITE 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LATHAM, NY 12110			2823	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
## Examiner   Trung Dang   2823    ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply    A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, after 80 X (9) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. If the period rendy specified some is less than this Q day, as a regly whether the station of minimum of thing (20) days will be considered timely. If the period rendy specified some is less than this Q days, as regly whether the regly within the station primitions of the period of the period of the period of the communication. If the period covers of by the Official but and there enotined after the maling date of this communication. Fairs to eaply within the same than the remediate after the maling date of this communication. Period of the	Office Action Summary								
Trung Dang  Trung					K ET AL.				
Preiod for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions for many be available used the procisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Extensions for many be available used the procisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Extensions for many be available used the procisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled  If the period for reply specified above is less than thint (20), days, an early within the statutory minimum of thint, (20) (sup v. an early within the statutory minimum of thint, (20) (sup v. an early within the statutory minimum of thint, (20) (sup v. an early within the statutory within the statutory entroid all goal and will express (VI) (MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication to become ABANCHOED (30 U.S.C. § 133).  Experimental statutors are supported to the statutory period vall goal and vall experimental flowers (sid, may reduce any version of the communication).  **Status**  1) ② Responsive to communication(s) filled on **OB June 2005.**  2a) ② This action is FINAL. 2b) ② This action is non-final.  3) ② Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  **Disposition of Claims**  4) ② Claim(s) **		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  after 93k (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  if the period for reply septided above, the maximum strature profess will apply and will segue state (1) days will be considered timely.  if NO period for reply septided above, the maximum strature profess will apply and will segue state (3) days will be considered timely.  if NO period for reply septided above, the maximum strature profess will apply and will segue state (3) days will be considered timely.  if NO period for reply septided above, the maximum strature profess will apply and will segue state (3) days will be considered timely.  if NO period for reply septided above, the maximum strature profess will apply and will segue state (3) days will be considered timely.  if NO period for reply septided above, the maximum strature profess will segal segue and segue state (3) days will be considered timely.  Apply capt, rescaled by the Office bett than the mailing date of this communication, even if limely filed, may reduce any search plants of the segue and plants and p		The MAILING DATE of this communic	. <u></u>		addross				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editables of time may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.13(b). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly field after \$1X, (6) MCNTRS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified to the provision of the pr									
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on @6 June 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3]□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) 1-5.7 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * □ None of: 1□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Molice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5)□ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: 5)□ Other: 6) Other: 7)□ Other: 7)□ Other: 8)□ Other: 8)□	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any								
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-5.7 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 3 and 8-11 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) and self-11 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) and self-11 is/are objected to. 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Molice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:  9) International Disclausers Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 7) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.	Status								
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-5.7 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 3 and 8-11 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) and self-11 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) and self-11 is/are objected to. 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Molice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:  9) International Disclausers Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 7) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>06 June 2005</u> .	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 15.7 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 6 and 8-11 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b) Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Prefsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-949) 3) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-949) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)⊠ This action is non-fin	al.					
A) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) are subjected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Copies of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	3)□	Since this application is in condition for	r allowance except for fo	mal matters, prosecution as to	the merits is				
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-5.7 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 6 and 8-11 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 6 and 8-11 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  4Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Professors Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Signer Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5.7 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6 and 8-11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mall Date 9/15 and 1/11/15/04.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5.7 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6 and 8-11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mall Date 9/15 and 1/11/15/04.	4)[\]	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the an	nlication	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	=								
6)  Claim(s) 1-5,7 and 12-14 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 6 and 8-11 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Pto-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Solution formal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
7)  Claim(s) 6 and 8-11 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-82)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	• =	· _ · · · _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/13/04.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ment.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Applicati	on Papers							
10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2004 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.  6) Other:  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.		•	Evaminer						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.  6) Other:	.0/23								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.  6) ☐ Other:									
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.  6) Other:	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04. Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	_	• •	_						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15 and 11/15/04.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:				Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	TO/SB/08) 5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Patent Application (I	PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/711,383 Page 2

Art Unit: 2823

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the Group I invention, claims 1-14 in the reply filed on 06/06/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the issues of product and method claims are divergent. There may be some overlap in the searches of the two groups, but there is no reason to believe that the searches would be identical. Furthermore, the examination of the process claims is based on different criteria from that of the device claims, hence the examination of the two groups is not co-extensive. Therefore, based on the additional work involved in searching and examination of the two distinct inventions together that would present serious burden to the examiner, restriction of distinct invention is clearly proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2823

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

3. Claims 1, 3, 7, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ference et al. (US 6,611,050).

With reference to Figs. 13, 14, and 17 the reference teaches the claimed invention in that it discloses method for chip separation comprising the steps of:

- (a) providing a semiconductor substrate;
- (b) forming first and second device regions 112a, 112b in and at top of the semiconductor substrate, wherein the first and second device regions are separated by a semiconductor border region 14 of the semiconductor substrate (Fig. 13, wherein the dicing channel 114 corresponds to the claimed semiconductor border region);
- (c) forming N interconnect layers 122 of copper directly above the semiconductor border region 114 and the first and second device regions 112a, 112b, wherein N is a positive integer,

wherein each layer of the N interconnect layers comprises an etchable portion (portion of the copper layer 122 that is removed in subsequent step depicted in Fig.14) directly above the semiconductor border region, and wherein the etchable portions of the N interconnect layers form a continuous etchable block directly above the semiconductor border region;

Application/Control Number: 10/711,383 Page 4

Art Unit: 2823

(d) removing the continuous etchable block by etching (Fig. 14);

(e) cutting with a laser through the semiconductor border region via an empty space of the removed continuous etchable block (Fig. 17 and col. 6, bottom line in conjunction with col. 5, line 49 and col. 6, line 6 for dicing the semiconductor substrate using laser).

Note that the step of forming V-shaped recess 132b using a blade as shown in Fig. 14 reads on the claimed limitation "removing the continuous etchable block by etching" because the term "etching" has the same meaning as cutting or removing. Furthermore, with regard to claim 7, as shown in Fig. 14, the exposed bottom of trench 132b is the surface of the semiconductor border region 114.

With respect to claims 12-14, the embodiment illustrated in Figs. 4-8 anticipates the claims in that it discloses method for chip separation comprising the steps of:

- (a) providing a semiconductor substrate;
- (b) forming first and second device regions 12a, 12b and a filled deep trench 18 in and at top of the semiconductor substrate, wherein the first and second device regions 12a, 12b are separated by a semiconductor border region 14 of the semiconductor substrate, and wherein the semiconductor border region 14 comprises the filled deep trench 18 (Fig. 4);
- (c) forming N interconnect layers 22 directly above the border region 14 and the

Art Unit: 2823

first and second device regions, wherein N is a positive integer,

wherein each layer of the N interconnect layers comprises an etchable portion (portion of the copper layer 22 that is removed in subsequent step depicted in Fig.6B) directly above the filled deep trench 14, and wherein the etchable portions of the N interconnect layers form a continuous etchable block directly above the filled deep trench 14 (Fig. 6A);

- (d) removing the continuous etchable block by etching (Fig. 6B); and
- (e) cutting with a laser through the filled deep trench via an empty space of the removed continuous etchable block (Fig. 8 and col. 5, line 49).

Note that, the terms deep and shallow are relative to the extent of being definitive only in regard to a particular object referred to, hence the filled shallow trench 18 of the reference reads on the claimed filled deep trench.

4. Claims 1, 3, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takao (US 2004/0137701).

With reference to Figs. 5-8B, the reference teaches the claimed invention in that it discloses method for chip separation comprising the steps of:

- (a) providing a semiconductor substrate 10,
- (b) forming first and second device regions 10A (Fig. 8B) in and at top of the semiconductor substrate 10, wherein the first and second device regions are

Application/Control Number: 10/711,383 Page 6

Art Unit: 2823

separated by a semiconductor border region of the semiconductor substrate 10 (Fig. 8A wherein the dicing line region corresponds to the claimed semiconductor border region)

- (c) forming N interconnect layers 21 directly above the semiconductor border region and the first and second device regions 10A, wherein N is a positive integer, wherein each layer of the N interconnect layers comprises an etchable
- portion (portion of metal seed layer 18 in Fig. 5)) directly above the semiconductor border region, and wherein the etchable portions of the N interconnect layers form a continuous etchable block directly above the semiconductor border region;
- (d) removing the continuous etchable block by etching (Fig. 7 and para. [0064];
- (e) cutting with a laser through the semiconductor border region via an empty space of the removed continuous etchable block (Fig. 8B and para. [0066]).

For claim 3, see para. [0060] for the disclosure that the seed layer 18 that is readable on the claimed etchable block is made of copper.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2823

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takao et al. as above in view of Cohen (US 6,903,016).

Takao teaches a method for chip separation as described above.

Takao differs from the claims in not disclosing that copper seeding layer 18 (corresponding to the claimed etchable block) is etched by wet etching.

Cohen in col. 7, lines 38-45 teaches copper seeding layer (see col. 6, lines 46-48 for the seeding layer is made of copper) can be removed by techniques well known in the art, such techniques include wet etching.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Takao's teaching by etching the copper seeding layer 18 using wet etching because wet etching is recognized in the art as a conventional method to etch copper, hence the application of a known method to etch the same material would have been within the level of one skilled in the art. The employment of wet etching would not support the patentability of the subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such application is critical.

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ference et al. as above in view of Kawakami (US 2003/0190795).

Ference teaches a method for chip separation as described above.

Ference differs from the claim in not disclosing the steps of backside grinding

Art Unit: 2823

and then applying a dicing tape as claimed.

Kawakami teaches a chip separation method, which includes the steps of: backside grinding a back surface of a semiconductor wafer; and then affixing a dicing tape to the back surface of the semiconductor substrate before dicing the substrate (Fig. 2 and paras. [0054]-[0056]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ference's process by performing a backside grinding process and affixing a dicing tape to the back surface of the semiconductor substrate as suggested by Kawakami because of the following benefits: a) thinning the substrate would produce extremely thin chip or die, hence increasing the capacity of chips stacked on top of each other for a given dimension, and b) the dicing tape affixed to the backside of the substrate not only provides support for the dicing operation but also facilitates handling of the chips after they are separated.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 6 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 6 is allowable over prior art of record because the prior art does not teach

or suggest the claimed subject matter which includes the step of wet etching a portion of the semiconductor border so as to form a v-shaped trench after the step of removing the etchable block but before the step of cutting by laser.

Page 9

Claim 8 and its dependent claims are allowable over prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest the claimed subject matter which includes the claimed feature regarding the formation of the first and second chip edge blocks, the first and second isolation blocks, and their positions with respect to each other as recited in claim 8.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trung Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1857. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Art Unit: 2823

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trung Dang

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

Page 10

6/24/05